

## **Advanced Debaters Toastmasters Club**

**Team Debate Ballot** 

udge Name:		Date:	
Role	Length	Speaker I	Name
AFF Constructive #1	5 minutes		
NEG Constructive #1	5 minutes		
AFF Constructive #2	5 minutes		
NEG Constructive #2	5 minutes		
NEG Cross-Examination of AFF	3 minutes —	(NEG Questioner)	(AFF Answerer)
AFF Cross-Examination of NEG	3 minutes —	(AFF Questioner)	(NEG Answerer)
NEG Rebuttal	3 minutes		
AFF Rebuttal	3 minutes		
		en their personal beliefs.	
<ul> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> <li>No new arguments may be introdu</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.
<ul> <li>Debaters should clearly support or</li> <li>Debaters should display solid logic</li> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.
<ul> <li>Debaters should clearly support or</li> <li>Debaters should display solid logic</li> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> <li>No new arguments may be introdu</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.
<ul> <li>Debaters should clearly support or</li> <li>Debaters should display solid logic</li> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> <li>No new arguments may be introdu</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.
<ul> <li>Debaters should clearly support or</li> <li>Debaters should display solid logic</li> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> <li>No new arguments may be introdu</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.
<ul> <li>Debaters should clearly support or</li> <li>Debaters should display solid logic</li> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> <li>No new arguments may be introdu</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.
<ul> <li>Debaters should clearly support or</li> <li>Debaters should display solid logic</li> <li>Cross-examination time should be</li> <li>No new arguments may be introdu</li> </ul>	attack the resolution. Class and reasoning, advocate a dedicated to questions and uced in the NEG Rebuttal of	a position, utilize evidence, and communicate o Id answers, rather then reading evidence or giv. Ir AFF Rebuttal; however, debaters may include	ing small speeches.

In my opinion, the <b>Best Constructive #1</b> in this debate		In my opinion, the <b>Best Constructive #2</b> in this debate		
was delivered by  Comments to Debaters		was delivered by		
		Comments to Debaters		
In my opinion, the <b>Best Cross-Examiner</b> in this debate		In my opinion, the <b>Best Rebuttal</b> in this debate was		
was		delivered by		
Comments to Debaters		Comments to Debaters		
In my opinion, the <b>Best Over</b> ,	<b>all Debater</b> in this debate was			
, -	Comments t			